COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.:</u> 5515-01 <u>Bill No.:</u> HB 1585

Subject: Abortion; Health Care; Physicians

Type: Original

Date: March 19, 2014

Bill Summary: This proposal establishes the Abortion Ban for Sex Selection and Genetic

Abnormalities Act of 2014 to prohibit an abortion solely due to the sex of

the unborn child or a genetic abnormality diagnosis.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017		
\$G	\$0	\$0		
		FY 2015 FY 2016		

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 5515-01 Bill No. HB 1585 Page 2 of 5 March 19, 2014

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

- ☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

L.R. No. 5515-01 Bill No. HB 1585 Page 3 of 5 March 19, 2014

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** state the penalty provisions for violations, the component of the bill to have a potential fiscal impact for DOC, is for up to a class D felony. Currently, the DOC cannot predict the number of new commitments which may result from the creation of the offense(s) outlined in this proposal. An increase in commitments depends on utilization by prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the court.

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase of direct offender costs either through incarceration (FY 13 average of \$18.014 per offender, per day, or an annual cost of \$6,575 per inmate) or through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Prole (FY 13 average of \$5.07 per offender, per day, or an annual cost of \$1,851 per offender).

DOC assumes the narrow scope of the crime will not encompass a large number of offenders. The low felony status of the crime enhances the possibility of plea-bargaining or imposition of a probation sentence and the probability exists that offenders would be charged with a similar, but more serious offence, or that sentences may run concurrent to one another.

The DOC assumes supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in some additional costs, but it is assumed the impact would be \$0 or a minimal amount that could be absorbed within existing resources.

Officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services (OPS)** assume the proposal will have no measurable fiscal impact on the OPS. The creation of a new crime creates additional responsibilities for county prosecutors which may, in turn, result in additional costs, which are difficult to determine.

Oversight assumes the potential responsibilities imposed on county prosecutors as a result of this proposal, will be absorbable within current funding and staffing levels.

Officials from the **Department of Social Services (DSS)** state there is no impact to the DSS. MO HealthNet pays for abortions only in very limited circumstances.

Officials from the **Department of Health and Senior Services**, the **Office of State Courts Administrator**, the **Department of Insurance**, **Financial Institutions and Professional Registration**, the **Department of Mental Health**, and the **Office of State Public Defender** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

HWC:LR:OD

L.R. No. 5515-01 Bill No. HB 1585 Page 4 of 5 March 19, 2014

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2015 (10 Mo.)	FY 2016	FY 2017
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2015 (10 Mo.)	FY 2016	FY 2017
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	\$0

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

The proposal may impact small business healthcare providers that perform abortions as the number of abortions they perform may be reduced if the abortion would be based on the sex or genetic abnormality of the child.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 5515-01 Bill No. HB 1585 Page 5 of 5 March 19, 2014

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of State Courts Administrator
Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration
Department of Mental Health
Department of Corrections
Department of Health and Senior Services
Department of Social Services
Office of Prosecution Services
Office of State Public Defender

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

March 19, 2014

Ross Strope Assistant Director March 19, 2014